



उपग्रह दूरस्थ संवेदन द्वारा  
कोनार जलाशय, झारखण्ड का अवसादन आंकलन

**SEDIMENTATION ASSESSMENT OF  
KONAR RESERVOIR,  
JHARKHAND, THROUGH SATELLITE REMOTE SENSING**



भारत सरकार  
केन्द्रीय जल आयोग  
पर्यावरण प्रबंध संगठन  
दूरस्थ संवेदन निदेशालय

Government of India  
Central Water Commission  
Environment Management Organization  
Remote Sensing Directorate

May 2021



**SEDIMENTATION ASSESSMENT OF  
KONAR RESERVOIR,  
JHARKHAND, THROUGH SATELLITE REMOTE SENSING**

**Year of Study      2021  
Data Used        2019-2021**

**STUDY TEAM**

**OVERALL GUIDANCE**

Shri Amrendra Kumar Singh

Chief Engineer (EMO)  
CWC, New Delhi

**SUPERVISION**

Shri. Rishi Srivastava

Director (RS Directorate)  
CWC, New Delhi.

**PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR**

Mrs. Karishma Bhatnagar Malhotra

Deputy Director (RS Directorate)  
CWC, New Delhi.

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The dynamic aspects of the reservoir, mainly water spread, suspended sediment distribution and concentration requires periodical mapping and monitoring. Sedimentation in a reservoir has a bearing on the capacity of the reservoir as it affects both live and dead storages. In other words, the life of a reservoir depends on the rate of siltation. The satellite data provides opportunity to study these aspects on various scales and at different stages. The present report comprises of use of **Microwave Remote Sensed data** for the years 2019-21 in the sedimentation study of Konar reservoir. The various aspects of the reservoir sedimentation, like the process of sedimentation in the reservoir, sources of sediment, measures to check the sediment and limitations of space technology have been discussed in the report.

Multi-date satellite remote sensing data provide information on elevation contours in the form of water-spread area. Any reduction in reservoir water spread area at a specified elevation corresponding to the date of satellite data is indicative of sediment deposition. The quantity of sediment load settled down over a period of time can thus be determined by evaluating the change in the aerial spread of the reservoir at various elevations.

In the present study **microwave data from Sentinel 1A/1B satellites** have been used to estimate water spread area of Konar Reservoir. As compared to Optical remote sensing, Microwave remote sensing has advantages as the satellite operates day and night allowing the acquisition of imagery at frequent time intervals regardless of weather and illumination conditions. The Sentinel-1 mission is a constellation of two polar-orbiting satellites (Sentinel-1A and Sentinel-1B), with a C-band synthetic aperture radar instrument operating at a center frequency of 5.405 GHz, that acquires Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) data in single or dual polarization with a revisit time of 6 days.

Konar dam was constructed in the year 1955 on the river Konar in Hazaribagh district in Jharkhand. The original gross and live storage capacities of Konar reservoir at the time of opening were 281.35 MCM & 220.33 MCM respectively. In 1997 Satellite Remote Sensing survey was done that reported the live capacity as 194.82 MCM.

After analysis of the satellite data in the present study, it is found that live capacity of Konar reservoir in 2021 is 181.863 MCM witnessing a live storage loss of 38.467 MCM (i.e. 17.459%) in a period of 66 years during 1955 to 2021. This accounts for live capacity loss of 0.265% per annum since 1955.

# CONTENTS

| <b>S.No</b> | <b>Topic</b>                              | <b>Page No.</b> |
|-------------|---|-----------------|
| 1.          | INTRODUCTION                              | 1               |
| 2.          | SOURCES AND MECHANISM OF SEDIMENTATION    | 2               |
| 3.          | CONTROL OF SEDIMENTATION                  | 6               |
| 4.          | REMOTE SENSING IN RESERVOIR SEDIMENTATION | 7               |
| 5.          | OBJECTIVES                                | 8               |
| 6.          | STUDY AREA                                | 9               |
| 7.          | APPROACH FOR PRESENT STUDY                | 9               |
| 8.          | DATA USED                                 | 11              |
|             | 8.1. Satellite Data                       | 11              |
|             | 8.2. Field Data                           | 11              |
| 9.          | METHODOLOGY                               | 11              |
|             | 9.1. Data Base                            | 12              |
|             | 9.2. Water Spread Area Estimation         | 12              |
|             | 9.2.1 SAR data Preprocessing using SNAP   | 12              |
|             | 9.2.2. Thresholding                       | 13              |
|             | 9.3. Estimation Of Reservoir Capacity     | 18              |
|             | 9.4. Comparision with Original survey     | 23              |
| 10.         | RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS                   | 25              |
| 11.         | CONCLUSIONS                               | 25              |
| 12.         | LIMITATIONS                               | 26              |
|             | ANNEXURE – I                              | 27              |
|             | ANNEXURE – II                             | 28              |
|             | REFERENCES                                | 29              |

## LIST OF TABLES

|          |  |    |
|----------|--|----|
| Table 1: | Date of pass for satellite data  | 11 |
| Table 2: | Water spread areas estimated from satellite images                     | 13 |
| Table 3: | Aerial extent of reservoir at regular interval                         | 20 |
| Table 4: | Comparision of Live storage capacity of reservoir                      | 23 |
| Table 5: | Storage Capacity Loss due to Sedimentation as per previous SRS surveys | 25 |

## LIST OF FIGURES

|             |   |       |
|-------------|---|-------|
| Figure. 1:  | Conceptual sketch of density currents in a reservoir                        | 4     |
| Figure. 2:  | Longitudinal Patterns of sediment deposition in reservoirs                  | 5     |
| Figure. 3:  | Conceptual sketch of different levels in a reservoir                        | 6     |
| Figure. 4:  | Index map of Konar Reservoir  | 10    |
| Figure. 5:  | Flow chart showing methodology followed to estimate reservoir capacity loss | 14    |
| Figure. 6:  | Sentinel 1 SAR imageries showing water spreads at different dates           | 15-16 |
| Figure. 7:  | Konar Reservoir Water Spread Area on different dates                        | 17    |
| Figure. 8:  | Observed elevation vs Observed WSA of Konar Reservoir                       | 19    |
| Figure. 9:  | Modified live capacity elevation curve                                      | 21    |
| Figure. 10: | Elevation- Area - Capacity curve  | 22    |
| Figure. 11: | Comparison of Elevation - Live Storage Capacity curves                      | 24    |

## **ABBREVIATIONS**

|      |                                |
|------|--------------------------------|
| CWC  | Central Water Commission       |
| DSL  | Dead Storage Level             |
| FRL  | Full Reservoir Level           |
| IRS  | Indian Remote Sensing          |
| LISS | Linear Imaging Self Scanner    |
| MDDL | Minimum Draw Down Level        |
| MSL  | Mean Sea Level                 |
| MWL  | Maximum Water Level            |
| NIR  | Near Infra-Red                 |
| NRSC | National Remote Sensing Centre |
| SAR  | Synthetic Aperture Radar       |
| SNAP | Sentinel Application Platform  |
| SRS  | Satellite Remote Sensing       |
| N.A. | Not Available                  |
| WSA  | Water Spread Area              |

## **UNITS USED**

|                       |                        |
|-----------------------|------------------------|
| Cumec                 | cubic metre per second |
| M                     | Metre                  |
| M m <sup>2</sup>      | million square metre   |
| M m <sup>3</sup> /MCM | million cubic metre    |
| Ha                    | Hectare                |
| Sq Km                 | Square Kilometre       |
| mm/year               | millimetre per year    |

# **SEDIMENTATION ASSESSMENT OF KONAR RESERVOIR, JHARKHAND THROUGH SATELLITE REMOTE SENSING**

## **1 INTRODUCTION**

India – the second largest country in the world in terms of population – has about 17.3% of world's population, about 4% of world's water resources, and 2.44% of total geographical land area of the world. Therefore, in spite of having an average annual average precipitation to the tune of more than 1105 mm/year, the population density (lack of land resources) and per capita water resources availability make India a water-stressed country, as a whole. However, at a regional or basin level, many areas in the country are water-scarce or severely water-scarce owing to the spatial and temporal variability of water resources.

It is estimated that average annual precipitation over India is about 3880 BCM. Out of this precipitation, the average annual water resources availability of the country is about 1999.2 BCM, as estimated by Central Water Commission (CWC) in 2019. The water resources availability situation gets more murkier due to topographical and other constraints. Due to this, the total utilisable water resources in the country are about 1122 BCM (690 BCM of surface water and 432 BCM of groundwater). On one hand, the per-capita water resource availability is reducing due to increasing population and on the other, per-capita water usage is increasing due to industrialisation, urbanisation and change in lifestyles or dietary habits, making the available water resources still dearer.

India, has typical monsoon-based climate where more than 75% rainfall occurs in three months i.e. July, August, and September. The total number of rainy days typically are in the tune of only 20-25 days per year (100-150 hours of rain per year) for most parts of the country. As a result, the bulk of annual water (75-80%) in rivers is available only in these three months. Therefore, in order to sustain life and other activities throughout the year from a resources that is available only through 20-25 rainy days, it is absolutely essential to store the water in appropriately-sized storage structures (depending upon the topography and hydrology of the area).

So far, India has developed just 257.812 BCM as live storage capacity and 46.765 BCM is under construction. Realising the importance of storage structures, a large number of reservoirs have been built, since independence, during each plan in almost all river basins, except Ganga and Brahmaputra, to tap the available surface water and to utilize it as and when needed. The capacity of reservoirs is gradually reducing due to silting and hence sedimentation of reservoir is of great concern for all the water resources development projects.

Correct assessment of the sedimentation rate is essential for assessing useful life of the reservoir as well as optimum reservoir operation schedule. Since 1958, when it was established that the live storage of reservoir is getting reduced due to siltation, a systematic effort has been made by various departments / organizations to evaluate the capacity of reservoirs. Various techniques like boat echo sounder, etc. being replaced by hydrographic data acquisition system (HYDAC) and HITECH method using Differential Global Positioning System (DGPS). The conventional techniques are found either time consuming or costly and require considerable manpower. Remote sensing technique to calculate the present live capacity of reservoir is found to be very useful in this context due to its synoptic and repetitive coverage. The surveys based on remote sensing data are faster, economical and more reliable.

These surveys will enable selection of appropriate measures for controlling sedimentation along with efficient management and operation of reservoirs thereby deriving maximum benefits for the society.

This report covers the study of Konar reservoir, Jharkhand by Central Water Commission, New Delhi.

## **2. SOURCES AND MECHANISM OF SEDIMENTATION**

The principal sources of sediments are as follows:

1. Deforestation
2. Excessive erosion in the catchment
3. Disposal of industrial and public wastes
4. Farming
5. Channelisation works
6. Human activities
7. Land development, highways, and mining




The sedimentation is a product of erosion in the catchment areas of the reservoir and hence lesser the rate of erosion, smaller is the sediment load entering the reservoir. Various factors govern the erosion, transport and deposition of sediment in the reservoir. Type of soil, drainage density, vegetation, rainfall intensity and duration, shape of catchment and land use /land cover affect the erosion. Sediment transportation depends upon slope of the catchment, channel geometry and nature of riverbank and bed. Deposition is a function of bed slope of the reservoir, length of reservoir, flow patterns, inflow - outflow rates, grain size distribution, mode of reservoir operation, etc.

In order to obtain the knowledge of sedimentation in the reservoir, it is necessary to study the mechanism of sedimentation, which will help to mitigate reservoir sedimentation, prolong the life span of reservoirs and to take full benefits of reservoirs. The sediment deposition in a reservoir depends on the following:

- Longitudinal and lateral valley shape
- Length and shape of reservoir
- Flow patterns in reservoir
- Capacity to inflow volume ratio (trap efficiency)
- Grain size distribution of sediment
- Water and sediment discharges
- Mode of reservoir operation
- Nature of incoming floods


Reservoirs created by dams on rivers lose their storage capacity due to sedimentation. As water enters a reservoir, its velocity diminishes because of the increased cross-sectional area of the channel. If the water stored in the reservoir is clear and the inflow is muddy, the two fluids have different densities and the heavy turbid water flows along the channel bottom towards the dam under the influence of gravity (Fig 1). This condition is known as "stratified flow" and the underflow is called a "density current". A large proportion of the transported silt eventually gets deposited at different levels of a reservoir and causes reduction not only in dead storage but also in live storage capacities.



**Fig. 1: Conceptual sketch of density currents in a reservoir**

Earlier it was believed that sediment always gets deposited in the bottom elevations of reservoir affecting the dead storage rather than depositing throughout the full range of reservoir depth. It is now fully realized that deposition takes place throughout the reservoir reducing the incremental capacity at all elevations.

Longitudinal deposition patterns in the reservoir will vary from one reservoir to another as influenced by pool geometry, discharge and grain size characteristic of the inflowing load and reservoir operation. There can be four types of depositing patterns in the reservoir as shown in the fig 2.




**Fig. 2: Longitudinal Patterns of sediment deposition in reservoirs.**

Delta deposits contain the coarsest fraction of the sediment load, which is rapidly deposited at the zone of inflow. It may consist entirely of coarse sediment or may also contain a large fraction of finer sediment such as silt. Wedge-shaped deposits are thickest at the dam and become thinner moving upstream. This pattern is typically caused by the transport of fine sediment to the dam by turbidity currents. Wedge-shaped deposits are also found in small reservoirs with a large inflow of fine sediment, and in large reservoirs operated at low water level during flood events, which causes most sediment to be carried into the vicinity of the dam. Tapering deposits occur when deposits become progressively thinner moving toward the dam. This is a common pattern in long reservoirs normally held at high pool level, and reflects the progressive deposition of fines from the water moving toward the dam. Uniform deposits are unusual but do occur. Narrow reservoirs with frequent water level fluctuation and small load of fine sediment can produce nearly uniform deposition depths. Several factors like amount of sediment load, size distribution, fluctuations in stream discharge, shape of reservoir, stream valley slope, vegetation at the head of the reservoir, location and size of reservoir, outlets, etc., control the location of sediment deposits in the reservoir.

Figure 3 shows different levels in the reservoir where-in the capacity is affected. Reservoirs operate between Minimum Draw Down Level (MDDL), which is at sluice level to Full Reservoir Level (FRL), which is at dam level. The storage between these

two levels is the live storage as shown in Fig. 3. The storage below MDDL is the dead storage. Water stored along the valley bed is known as valley storage.



**Fig. 3: Conceptual sketch of different levels in a reservoir**

### **3. CONTROL OF SEDIMENTATION**

Due to the multiple variables involved in reservoir sedimentation, no single control measure can be considered as the most effective. The measures, which can be employed to limit sedimentation and turbidity, are as under:

1. Soil and water conservation measures within the drainage basin, contour ploughing, strip cropping, suitable farming practices, improvement of agricultural land, construction of small dams/ponds/terraces/check dams on gullies
2. Revetment and vegetation cover
3. Evacuation of sediment
4. Reservoir shoreline protection
5. Stream bank and flood plain protection
6. Ridge plantation such as pasture development and reservoir shoreline protection

Silting not only occurs in the dead storage but also encroaches into the live storage zone, which impairs the intended benefits from the reservoirs. Therefore, the

problem of sedimentation needs careful consideration. Adequate provision has to be made in the reservoir for accumulation of anticipated quantities of silt. Steps are also required to be taken to ensure that the storage capacities available are not lost or get reduced by accelerated sedimentation.

#### **4. REMOTE SENSING IN RESERVOIR SEDIMENTATION**

Remote sensing is the art and science of collecting information about earth's feature without being in physical contact with it. Various features on earth surface reflect or emit electromagnetic energy depending upon their characteristics. The reflected radiation depends upon physical properties of the terrain and emitted radiation depends upon temperature and emissivity. The radiations are recorded by the sensor on-board satellite and then are transmitted back to earth. Difference between features depends on the fact that response from different features like vegetation, soil, water is different and discernable. Data received at ground stations, is digitally or visually interpreted to generate thematic maps.

The data from satellites such as Landsat, SPOT and IRS are useful for mapping and monitoring the surface water bodies and other land resources based on which, better water management strategies could be planned. Data from microwave remote sensing technique such as SENTINEL-1 is more useful as it is an imaging radar mission providing continuous all-weather, day-and-night imagery at C-band. The SENTINEL-1 constellation provides high reliability, improved revisit time, geographical coverage and rapid data dissemination to support operational applications in the priority areas of marine monitoring, land monitoring and emergency services.

Spectral response of water is affected by variables like time of the year, sun elevation angle, water vapour content in the atmosphere, roughness of water surface, water colour, turbidity, type and concentration of suspended particles, depth of water, characteristics of bottom material and submerged or emergent vegetation.

Reservoir sedimentation surveys are essentially based on mapping of water-spread area at the time of satellite over pass. Multi-date satellite data is needed which covers the operating level of reservoir at close interval. Water spread area is nothing but water level contour at that level. Using different contours, capacity between them is calculated. With the sedimentation, the water spread area of the reservoir reduces at different levels. The water spread area and the elevation information is used to calculate the volume of water stored between different levels. These capacity values are then compared with the previously calculated capacity values to find out the change in capacity between different levels.

Remote Sensing based reservoir capacity estimation has certain limitations. The capacity estimation works between MDDL and FRL only as these are the levels between which reservoir operates. Thus changes can be estimated only in live capacity of reservoir. For capacity estimation below MDDL corresponding to dead storage other methods like hydrographic survey are to be used. Availability of cloud free data throughout reservoir operations that was a limitation in earlier optical analysis has been taken care of by using microwave datasets that are not affected by weather or illumination conditions. This technique gives accurate estimates for fan shaped reservoir where there is a considerable change in water spread area with change in water level.

## **5. OBJECTIVES**

The objective of the study is to estimate live capacity loss of Konar reservoir due to sedimentation through Satellite Remote Sensing. Following objectives will be achieved in the study.

- a) Updation of Elevation - Area - Capacity curve using satellite data in live storage zone.
- b) Estimation of storage loss due to Sedimentation.


## **6. STUDY AREA**

The Damodar river rises in the Palamau hills of Chota Nagpur at an elevation of about 610 m. above m.s.l. It flows in a generally south-easterly direction entering the deltaic plains below Rani Ganj. Near Burdwan the river abruptly changes its course to a southerly direction and joins the Hooghly about 48 Kms below Calcutta. Damodar Valley Corporation is a complex integrated multi-purpose water resources system with four dams at Maithan, Panchat, Tilaiya, Konar and a Barrage at Durgapur. Konar Dam is located at 23°56'28"N, 85°46'30"E. Fig-1 is the index map showing the location of the Konar reservoir. The total length of dam is 3682.9 m out of which length of earth dam is 3405.5 m and length of concrete dam is 277.4 m. The spillway is of ogee type having a length of 107.9 m. The top of the dam is 431.29 m. above m.s.l. while the top of the spillway crest is 418.18 m. above m.s.l. The full reservoir level (FRL), i.e. conservation level, is 425.8 m. The original gross capacity is 281.35 MCM, out of which 220.33 MCM is live storage and remaining 61.02 MCM is provided as dead storage. The reservoir was first impounded in the year 1955. Salient features of the project are given at Annex-I.

## **7. APPROACH FOR PRESENT STUDY**

Remote Sensing technique makes use of water-spread of the reservoir between maximum and minimum operating level during the observation period. Since the reservoir levels generally do not go below the MDDL, water spread observations are not possible below MDDL. The same are to be extrapolated from observed elevation-area curve to find out capacity below MDDL. In the case of Konar reservoir, the height difference between FRL (425.8 m) and MDDL (410.56 m) is 15.24 m.

**Index Map Of Konar Reservoir**



**Fig. 4: Index map of the Konar Reservoir**



## **8. DATA USED**

### **8.1. SATELLITE DATA**

Microwave data from Sentinel 1A/1B for eight (08) dates has been used in the analysis. Table 1 depicts the date of pass of satellite along with elevation observed on that date.

**Table – 1: Date of pass for satellite data**

| <b>Satellite</b> | <b>Date of pass</b> | <b>Elevation (m)</b> |
|------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| Sentinel 1A      | 26-06-2019          | 414.56               |
| Sentinel 1A      | 08-06-2020          | 415.81               |
| Sentinel 1B      | 11-07-2020          | 418.30               |
| Sentinel 1A      | 23-07-2020          | 419.70               |
| Sentinel 1A      | 26-07-2020          | 420.40               |
| Sentinel 1A      | 04-04-2021          | 422.22               |
| Sentinel 1A      | 10-01-2021          | 424.50               |
| Sentinel 1A      | 30-10-2020          | 425.82               |

### **8.2. FIELD DATA**

The following field data have been obtained from project authorities:

Elevation - Capacity data

Salient features of Konar reservoir levels and capacity data on specified dates

## **9. METHODOLOGY**

Digital analysis has an edge over visual analysis in identifying water spread and turbidity levels in detail and more accurately because of minimizing human error or subjectivity. For Konar reservoir studies, multi-date Sentinel 1 (08 nos. imageries) is used for the analysis. Image processing with SNAP software and Arc GIS software was used for the analysis. The analysis comprised,

- Geo-referenced Data base.
- Water spread area estimation.
- Estimation of reservoir capacity.

- Comparison with original capacity.

## **9.1. DATA BASE**

The satellite data from Sentinel 1 satellite corresponding to reservoir area obtained from Copernicus open access hub was loaded on the system. The Sentinel-1 mission is a constellation of two polar-orbiting satellites (Sentinel-1A and Sentinel-1B), that operate day and night, sensing with a C-band synthetic aperture radar instrument operating at a centre frequency of 5.405 GHz, allowing the acquisition of imagery regardless of weather and illumination conditions. Sentinel-1 satellite constellations acquire Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) data in single or dual polarization with a revisit time of 6 days. A series of standard corrections was applied to the data using SNAP software to apply a precise orbit of acquisition, remove thermal and image border noise, perform radiometric calibration, and apply range Doppler and terrain correction.

## **9.2. WATER SPREAD AREA ESTIMATION**

Reduction in capacity of reservoir at different levels is depicted by reduction in water-spread area (WSA) at different water levels. Estimation of water-spread area is done using various digital image processing (DIP) techniques. The technique adopted for water-spread area estimation are as follows:

- SAR data Pre-processing using Sentinel Application Platform (SNAP)
- Thresholding using ARC-GIS

### **9.2.1. SAR DATA PRE-PROCESSING USING SNAP**

The open-source Sentinel Application Platform (SNAP) Toolkit developed by European Space Agency was used for SAR data pre-processing. Sentinel-1 intensities from high-resolution Level-1 ground range detected products (10 m; GRDH) were calibrated, speckle-filtered, and geometrically corrected using Range Doppler Terrain Correction. Specifically, the improved Lee-Sigma single product speckle filter with a window size of 7 by 7 was used to reduce speckle noise. Terrain correction were conducted using the recently released STRM 1 arc-second HGT digital elevation model (DEM) and UTM/WGS84 (Automatic) Map projection was used wherein SNAP automatically selects the required UTM zones.


### 9.2.2. THRESHOLDING

The areas where clear water/land demarcation is there, density slicing is successfully used for delineation of water spread areas. Density slicing is a technique where the entire grey values of pixels occurring in the image are divided into a series of specified intervals. All the grey values falling within a range are grouped in one grey value, which is displayed in output. This process divides the image into water and land pixels. From the study of histogram peaks, minimum and maximum value for water pixels is identified and image is then density sliced.







Water spread areas are extracted for all the scenes. Fig 5 shows the flowchart of methodology, Fig. 6 shows Sentinel 1A/1B images of different dates and Fig. 7 shows the superimposed reservoir water spreads for different dates. Water spread area has been calculated by multiplying number of pixels with area of each pixel i.e. (10m x 10m) in case of Sentinel 1 imagery. Table 2 shows satellite-derived reservoir water spread areas for different satellite overpass dates along with the water levels of the reservoir at the corresponding dates collected from the project authorities.

**Table – 2: Water Spread Areas estimated from Satellite Images**


| <b>Date of pass</b> | <b>Elevation (m)</b> | <b>Area (Mm<sup>2</sup>)</b> |
|---------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|
| 30-10-2020          | 425.82               | 19.793                       |
| 10-01-2021          | 424.50               | 19.102                       |
| 04-04-2021          | 422.22               | 16.548                       |
| 26-07-2020          | 420.40               | 15.139                       |
| 23-07-2020          | 419.70               | 14.190                       |
| 11-07-2020          | 418.30               | 13.064                       |
| 08-06-2020          | 415.81               | 8.627                        |
| 26-06-2019          | 414.56               | 8.227                        |



**Fig 5 : Flow chart showing methodology followed to estimate reservoir capacity loss**

|   |  |
|---|--|
|    |    |
| <b>26-June-2019 (414.56 m)</b>  | <b>08-June-2020 (415.81 m)</b>   |
|   |   |
| <b>11-July-2020 (418.30 m)</b>  | <b>23-July-2020 (416.70m)</b>  |
|  |  |
| <b>26-July-2020 (420.40 m)</b>  | <b>04-Apr-2021 (422.22 m)</b>  |

**Fig 6 : Sentinel 1 SAR imageries showing water spreads at different dates (Konar Reservoir)**



**Fig 6 : Sentinel 1 SAR imageries showing water spreads at different dates (Konar Reservoir)**

## Water Spread Area Of Konar Reservoir on Different Dates




Fig. 7: Water Spread Area of Konar Reservoir on different dates

The Satellite Images for the Konar reservoir have been obtained from Copernicus Open Access Hub that provides complete, free and open access to all sentinel mission data. The analysis has been carried out using **Sentinel Application Platform** (SNAP) and Digital Image Processing software Arc GIS. The digitally processed images of Konar Reservoir showing its water spread area for eight overpass dates such as 30-Oct-2020, 10-Jan-2021, 04-Apr-2021, 26-July-2020, 23-July-2020, 11-July-2020, 08-June-2020 and 26-June-2019 are shown in fig. 7.

The water elevation 425.82 m for 30-Oct-2020 is at the Full Reservoir Level (FRL). The Water elevation 414.56 m for 26-June-2019 is near the Minimum Drawdown Level (MDDL) of 410.56 m.

### 9.3. ESTIMATION OF RESERVOIR CAPACITY

Area elevation curve has been plotted using these above eight (8) water-spread areas for different water level in the reservoir and best-fit polynomial equation of second order as given below have been derived.

$$Y = -0.029X^2 + 1.652 * X + 1.618$$

$$R^2 = 0.989$$

Where, X is Elevation in meters

Y is Water Spread Area in M Sqm

Elevation - area curve using this equation has been plotted and shown in Fig-8. Water spread areas derived from satellite data for various dates are also marked on the curve. Computation of the reservoir capacity at various elevations was made using following formula

$$V = h/3\{A1+A2 + \text{sqrt. } (A1*A2)\}$$

Where,

'V' is the reservoir capacity between two successive elevations h1 and h2,

'h' is the elevation difference (h1-h2),

'A1 & A2' are areas of reservoir water spread at elevations h1 & h2.







Fig. 8: Observed elevation vs Observed WSA of Konar Reservoir

Table 3 gives the values of Live storage capacity and submergence areas at a regular interval of 1.5 m have been worked out using the best-fit polynomial equation at different elevations.

The Observed water level versus observed water spread area is at Fig-8. Modified live capacity - elevation curve and modified elevation – area –capacity curves are plotted and shown in Fig-9 and Fig-10 respectively.

**Table-3: Aerial extent of reservoir at regular interval (1.5m) using SRS Survey 2021**

| Reservoir water level in Metre |        | Water spread area by trend line (Mm <sup>2</sup> ) | Segmental Live Capacity (MCM) by SRS technique | Cumulative Live Capacity (MCM) by SRS technique 2021 |
|--------------------------------|--------|--|--|--|
| <b>MDDL</b>                    | 410.56 | 1.618  | 0.000  | 0.000  |
|                                | 411.50 | 3.145  | 2.199  | 2.199  |
|                                | 413.00 | 5.476  | 6.386  | 8.585  |
|                                | 414.50 | 7.677  | 9.818  | 18.404   |
|                                | 416.00 | 9.747  | 13.037   | 31.440   |
|                                | 417.50 | 11.686   | 16.053   | 47.493   |
|                                | 419.00 | 13.495   | 18.870   | 66.362   |
|                                | 420.50 | 15.174   | 21.489   | 87.852   |
|                                | 422.00 | 16.722   | 23.912   | 111.764  |
|                                | 423.50 | 18.139   | 26.138   | 137.902  |
|                                | 425.00 | 19.426   | 28.168   | 166.070  |
| <b>FRL</b>                     | 425.80 | 20.059   | 15.793   | <b>181.863</b>                                       |



**Fig. 9: Modified live capacity - elevation curve (SRS technique) : Konar Reservoir**




Fig. 10: Elevation – Area- Capacity Curve (Konar Reservoir)

#### 9.4. Comparison with Original and Previous Surveys


Comparison of live storage capacity of SRS survey with original survey 1983, and SRS survey 2003 at various elevations is given below in table 4. Curve showing comparison of live capacity is drawn in figure 11.

**Table-4: Comparison of Live Storage Capacity (MCM)**

| <b>Elevation (m)</b> | <b>Original Live Capacity (MCM) 1955</b> | <b>Cumulative live capacity by SRS survey (MCM) 1996-97</b> | <b>Cumulative live capacity by SRS survey (MCM) 2021</b> |
|----------------------|--|---|--|
| 410.56               | 0  | 0.000   | 0.000  |
| 411.50               | 6.66                                     | 5.57  | 2.199  |
| 413.00               | 19.32                                    | 15.82   | 8.585  |
| 414.50               | 34.33                                    | 27.97   | 18.404   |
| 416.00               | 51.77                                    | 42.19   | 31.440   |
| 417.50               | 70.33                                    | 58.49   | 47.493   |
| 419.00               | 91.73                                    | 76.95   | 66.362   |
| 420.50               | 116.66                                   | 97.75   | 87.852   |
| 422.00               | 142.92                                   | 121.21  | 111.764  |
| 423.50               | 172.86                                   | 147.63  | 137.902  |
| 425.00               | 202.66                                   | 177.43  | 166.070  |
| 425.80               | 220.330                                  | 194.82  | 181.863  |

The original gross and live storage capacities of Konar reservoir at the time of opening were 281.35 MCM & 220.33 MCM respectively. In 1997 Satellite Remote Sensing survey was done that reported the live capacity as 194.82 MCM.

After analysis of the satellite data in the present study, it is found that live capacity of Konar reservoir in 2021 is 181.863 MCM witnessing a live storage loss of 38.467 MCM (i.e. 17.458%) in a period of 66 years during 1955 to 2021. This accounts for live capacity loss of 0.265% per annum since 1955.



**Fig. 11: Comparison of Elevation-Live Storage Capacity Curves (MCM): Konar Reservoir**

## 10. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The loss in live storage capacity of the reservoir due to sedimentation since original survey (1955) and remote sensing survey (1997) is given in Table –5.

**Table – 5 : Storage Capacity loss due to sedimentation as per previous surveys**

|  | <b>Original Survey<br/>(1955)</b> | <b>SRS<br/>(1997)</b> | <b>SRS<br/>(2021)</b> |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| <b>Live Capacity (MCM)</b>   | 220.33                            | 194.82                | 181.863               |
| <b>Loss in Capacity (MCM)<br/>(since 1955)</b>   | -                                 | 25.51                 | 38.467                |
| <b>% Live capacity loss between two<br/>consecutive surveys (of the<br/>original capacity)</b> | -                                 | 11.578%               | 5.88%                 |
| <b>% Live capacity loss (since<br/>impoundment in 1955)</b>                                    | -                                 | 11.578%               | 17.459%               |
| <b>Annual % live capacity loss</b>   | -                                 | 0.276%                | 0.265%                |
| <b>Annual % loss between two<br/>consecutive surveys</b>                                       | -                                 | 0.276%                | 0.245%                |

Modified elevation-area-capacity table worked out by the present study is given at Table 3.

## 11. CONCLUSION

The following conclusions emerge from the present study, subject to the limitations stated in following paragraphs.

1. The live storage capacity of Konar reservoir has been found to be 181.863 MCM in 2021.
2. Live storage loss of 38.467 MCM (i.e. 17.459%) was observed since original survey (1955) i.e. in a period of 66 years. This accounts for live capacity loss of 0.265% per annum since 1955.
3. Satellite remote sensing based survey gives the information on the capacities in the water level fluctuation zone only, which generally lies

between MDDL and FRL of the reservoir. Use of Satellite Remote Sensing technique enables a fast and economical estimation of live storage capacity loss due to sedimentation.

4. Capacity estimation by this technique at regular time interval can give important parameters like annual rate of sedimentation and sediment deposition pattern in the reservoir area and provide new elevation - area - capacity curve for optimal operation of the reservoir.
5. **Capacity estimation using Microwave remote sensing technology has the advantage that cloud-free imageries are available throughout the year at frequent interval as they are not affected by weather or illumination conditions.**

## **12. LIMITATIONS/OBSERVATIONS**

1. As the reservoir operates between MDDL and FRL, the satellite data is available for this range only. The satellite remote sensing based reservoir capacity estimation works between MDDL and FRL in live storage.
2. Remote sensing techniques give accurate estimate for fan shaped reservoir where there is considerable change in water-spread area with change in water level.
3. Ground truth verification of boundary pixels is not possible due to continuous variation in reservoir levels that prevents correlating field observation of reservoir boundary with satellite data.



**1. General****Reservoir Location**

|                                  |             |
|----------------------------------|-------------|
| a. State                         | Jharkhand   |
| b. District                      | Hazari Bagh |
| c. River                         | Konar       |
| d. Latitude                      | 23°56'28"N  |
| e. Longitude                     | 85°46'30"E  |
| f. Above confluence with Damodar | 30.58 km    |
| g. Distance from Hazari Bagh     | 38.62 km    |
| h. Distance from Gomia           | 16.09 km    |

**2. Dam Details**

|                   |                              |
|-------------------|------------------------------|
| a. Drainage Area  | 997.15 km <sup>2</sup>       |
| b. Gross Capacity | 281.23 MCM                   |
| c. Live Capacity  | 220.33 MCM                   |
| d. Height of Dam  | 57.607 m                     |
| e. Type of Dam    | Composite (Earth & Concrete) |

**3. Reservoir Data**

|                   |         |
|-------------------|---------|
| a. FRL            | 425.80m |
| b. MDDI           | 410.56m |
| c. Top of Dam     | 431.29m |
| d. Spillway Crest | 418.18m |

**4. Masonry Dam Details**

|                       |               |
|-----------------------|---------------|
| a. Length of Spillway | 108 m         |
| b. No. of Gates       | 9             |
| c. Size of Gates      | 10.363x9.906m |
| d. Type of Gates      | Radial        |
| e. Design Flood       | 6792 cumec    |

**5. Date of Commencement****January, 1950****6. Date of Completion****October, 1955**

**PHOTOGRAPH OF RESERVOIR**



**Photo 1: Konar Dam**



**Photo 2: Konar Dam**

## **References**

1. Muley M V. Application of Remote Sensing in the study of reservoir Sedimentation, SAC, Ahmedabad, June 2001.
2. Linsley R K and Franzini J B Reservoirs. Water resources Engineering, II ed. Mc Graw Hill Kogakusha Ltd, 1972,pp.161-185
3. Borland W M and Miller C R (1960), Distribution of sediment in large reservoirs. Transactions of American Society of civil engineers, vol.125, 1960
4. Compendium on silting of reservoirs in India (2015), WS&RS directorate, Environment Management Organization, WP&P wing, CWC, pp1-194.
5. Technical report on Sedimentation Assessment of Idukki Reservoir, Kerala on Periyar river through Satellite Remote Sensing, Remote Sensing Dte, CWC, February 2020, pp 1-27.
6. Sentinel-1 SAR Technical Guide,  
<<https://sentinel.esa.int/web/sentinel/technical-guides/sentinel-1-sar>.>