



उपग्रह दूरस्थ संवेदन द्वारा
मैथन जलाशय, झारखंड का अवसादन आंकलन

**SEDIMENTATION ASSESSMENT
OF
MAITHON RESERVOIR, JHARKHAND,
THROUGH SATELLITE REMOTE SENSING**



भारत सरकार
केंद्रीय जल आयोग
पर्यावरण प्रबंध संगठन
दूरस्थ संवेदन निदेशालय

Government of India
Central Water Commission
Environment Management Organization
Remote Sensing Directorate

May 2020



**SEDIMENTATION ASSESSMENT
OF
MAITHON RESERVOIR, JHARKHAND,
THROUGH SATELLITE REMOTE SENSING**

Year of Study
Data Used

2020
2019-2020

STUDY TEAM

OVERALL GUIDANCE

Shri Amrendra Kumar Singh

Chief Engineer (EMO)
CWC, New Delhi

SUPERVISION

Shri. Rishi Srivastava

Director (RS Directorate)
CWC, New Delhi.

Mrs. Karishma Bhatnagar Malhotra

Deputy Director (RS Directorate)
CWC, New Delhi.

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR

Shri. Anjani Kumar Maurya

Assistant Director (RS Directorate)
CWC, New Delhi.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The dynamic aspects of the reservoir, mainly water spread, suspended sediment distribution and concentration requires periodical mapping and monitoring. Sedimentation in a reservoir has a bearing on the capacity of the reservoir as it affects both live and dead storages. In other words, the life of a reservoir depends on the rate of siltation. The satellite data provides opportunity to study these aspects on various scales and at different stages.

The present report comprises of use of **Microwave Remote Sensed data** for the years 2019-20 in the sedimentation study of Maithon reservoir. The various aspects of the reservoir sedimentation, like the process of sedimentation in the reservoir, sources of sediment, measures to check the sediment and limitations of space technology have been discussed in the report.

Multi-date satellite remote sensing data provide information on elevation contours in the form of water-spread area. Any reduction in reservoir water spread area at a specified elevation corresponding to the date of satellite data is indicative of sediment deposition. The quantity of sediment load settled down over a period of time can thus be determined by evaluating the change in the aerial spread of the reservoir at various elevations.

In the present study **microwave data from Sentinel 1A/1B satellites** have been used to estimate water spread area of Maithon Reservoir. As compared to Optical remote sensing, Microwave remote sensing has advantages as the satellite operates day and night allowing the acquisition of imagery at frequent time intervals regardless of weather and illumination conditions. The Sentinel-1 mission is a constellation of two polar-orbiting satellites (Sentinel-1A and Sentinel-1B), with a C-band synthetic aperture radar instrument operating at a center frequency of 5.405 GHz, that acquires Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) data in single or dual polarization with a revisit time of 6 days.

The original Gross and Live storage capacities of Maithon reservoir at the time of opening in year 1955 was 1348.80 Mm³ and 1253.9 Mm³ respectively. Several hydrographic surveys have been conducted in past on this reservoir. In year 1994, Last hydrographic survey was conducted for this reservoir that witnessed loss in Gross and Live storage capacities at 1084.76 Mm³ and 989.86 Mm³ respectively.

When remote sensing survey was done in year 2001, Live storage capacity was calculated up to minimum flood management pool level i.e. the Conservation Level of 146.31 m since the reservoir was operated below that level only.

In year 2001, Remote Sensing Study of the reservoir revealed the Live storage capacity of 453.69 Mm³ at conservation level of 146.31 m which was originally 607.26 Mm³ in year 1955. However at Full Reservoir Level (FRL) of 150.88 m, The Gross and Live storage capacities have been recorded as 933.38 Mm³ and 838.48 Mm³ respectively.

After analysis of the satellite data in the present study, it is found that Live storage capacity of Maithon reservoir in year 2019 is 778.98 Mm³ with respect to FRL of 150.88 m, witnessing a Live storage loss of 474.92 Mm³ (i.e. 37.875 %) in a period of 64 years during year 1955 to 2019. This accounts for live capacity loss of 0.59% per annum since year 1955.

However, with respect to conservation level of 146.31 m, the **Live storage capacity in year 2019 is estimated to be 448.18 Mm³ (from Elevation Capacity Curve) witnessing a Live storage loss of 159.08 Mm³ (i.e. 26.2%) in a period of 64 years during year 1955 to 2019.** This accounts for live capacity loss of 0.41% per annum since year 1955. The Live capacity loss at conservation level from last Satellite remote sensing survey in year 2001 is 5.51 Mm³ in a period of 18 years i.e. from year 2001 to 2019.

CONTENTS

S.No	Topic	Page No.
1.	INTRODUCTION	1
2.	SOURCES AND MECHANISM OF SEDIMENTATION	2
3.	CONTROL OF SEDIMENTATION	6
4.	REMOTE SENSING IN RESERVOIR SEDIMENTATION	7
5.	OBJECTIVES	8
6.	STUDY AREA	9
7.	APPROACH FOR PRESENT STUDY	9
8.	DATA USED	11
	8.1. Satellite Data	11
	8.2. Field Data	11
9.	METHODOLOGY	11
	9.1. Data Base	12
	9.2. Water Spread Area Estimation	12
	9.2.1 SAR data Preprocessing using SNAP	12
	9.2.2. Thresholding	13
	9.3. Estimation Of Reservoir Capacity	18
	9.4. Comparision with Original survey	23
10.	RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	26
11.	CONCLUSIONS	27
12.	LIMITATIONS	28
	ANNEXURE – I	29
	ANNEXURE – II	30
	REFERENCES	32

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1:	Date of pass for satellite data	11
Table 2:	Water spread areas estimated from satellite images	13
Table 3:	Aerial extent of reservoir at regular interval	20
Table 4:	Comparision of Live storage capacity of reservoir	23
Table 5:	Storage Capacity Loss at FRL due to Sedimentation as per previous Hydrographic and SRS surveys	26
Table 6:	Storage Capacity Loss at Conservation Level due to Sedimentation as per previous Hydrographic and SRS	26

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure. 1:	Conceptual sketch of density currents in a reservoir	4
Figure. 2:	Longitudinal Patterns of sediment deposition in reservoirs	5
Figure. 3:	Conceptual sketch of different levels in a reservoir	6
Figure. 4:	Index map of Maithon Reservoir	10
Figure. 5:	Flow chart showing methodology followed to estimate reservoir capacity loss	14
Figure. 6:	Sentinel 1 SAR imageries showing water spreads at different dates	15-16
Figure. 7:	Maithon Reservoir Water Spread Area on different dates	17
Figure. 8:	Observed elevation vs Observed WSA of Maithon Reservoir	19
Figure. 9:	Modified live capacity elevation curve	21
Figure. 10:	Elevation- Area - Capacity curve	22
Figure. 11:	Comparison of Elevation - Live Storage Capacity curves	25

ABBREVIATIONS

CWC	Central Water Commission
DSL	Dead Storage Level
FRL	Full Reservoir Level
IRS	Indian Remote Sensing
LISS	Linear Imaging Self Scanner
MDDL	Minimum Draw Down Level
MSL	Mean Sea Level
MWL	Maximum Water Level
NIR	Near Infra-Red
NRSC	National Remote Sensing Centre
SAR	Synthetic Aperture Radar
SNAP	Sentinel Application Platform
SRS	Satellite Remote Sensing
N.A.	Not Available
WSA	Water Spread Area

UNITS USED

Cumec	cubic metre per second
m	Metre
Mm ²	million square metre
Mm ³ or MCM	million cubic metre
Ha	Hectare
Sq Km	Square Kilometre
mm/year	millimetre per year

SEDIMENTATION ASSESSMENT OF MAITHON RESERVOIR, JHARKHAND THROUGH SATELLITE REMOTE SENSING

1 INTRODUCTION

India – the second largest country in the world in terms of population – has about 17.3% of world's population, about 4% of world's water resources, and 2.44% of total geographical land area of the world. Therefore, in spite of having an average annual average precipitation to the tune of more than 1105 mm/year, the population density (lack of land resources) and per capita water resources availability make India a water-stressed country, as a whole. However, at a regional or basin level, many areas in the country are water-scarce or severely water-scarce owing to the spatial and temporal variability of water resources.

It is estimated that average annual precipitation over India is about 3880 BCM. Out of this precipitation, the average annual water resources availability of the country is about 1999.2 BCM, as estimated by Central Water Commission (CWC) in 2019. The water resources availability situation gets more murkier due to topographical and other constraints. Due to this, the total utilisable water resources in the country are about 1122 BCM (690 BCM of surface water and 432 BCM of groundwater). On one hand, the per-capita water resource availability is reducing due to increasing population and on the other, per-capita water usage is increasing due to industrialisation, urbanisation and change in lifestyles or dietary habits, making the available water resources still dearer.

India, has typical monsoon-based climate where more than 75% rainfall occurs in three months i.e. July, August, and September. The total number of rainy days typically are in the tune of only 20-25 days per year (100-150 hours of rain per year) for most parts of the country. As a result, the bulk of annual water (75-80%) in rivers is available only in these three months. Therefore, in order to sustain life and other activities throughout the year from a resources that is available only through 20-25 rainy days, it is absolutely essential to store the water in appropriately-sized storage structures (depending upon the topography and hydrology of the area).

So far, India has developed just 257.812 BCM as live storage capacity and 46.765 BCM is under construction. Realising the importance of storage structures, a large number of reservoirs have been built, since independence, during each plan in almost all river basins, except Ganga and Brahmaputra, to tap the available surface water and to utilize it as and when needed. The capacity of reservoirs is gradually reducing due to silting and hence sedimentation of reservoir is of great concern for all the water resources development projects.

Correct assessment of the sedimentation rate is essential for assessing useful life of the reservoir as well as optimum reservoir operation schedule. Since year 1958, when it was established that the live storage of reservoir is getting reduced due to siltation, a systematic effort has been made by various departments / organizations to evaluate the capacity of reservoirs. Various techniques like boat echo sounder, etc. being replaced by hydrographic data acquisition system (HYDAC) and HITECH method using Differential Global Positioning System (DGPS). The conventional techniques are found either time consuming or costly and require considerable manpower. Remote sensing technique to calculate the present live capacity of reservoir is found to be very useful in this context due to its synoptic and repetitive coverage. The surveys based on remote sensing data are faster, economical and more reliable.

These surveys will enable selection of appropriate measures for controlling sedimentation along with efficient management and operation of reservoirs thereby deriving maximum benefits for the society. This report covers the study of Maithon reservoir, Jharkhand by Central Water Commission, New Delhi.

2. SOURCES AND MECHANISM OF SEDIMENTATION

The principal sources of sediments are as follows:

1. Deforestation
2. Excessive erosion in the catchment
3. Disposal of industrial and public wastes
4. Farming
5. Channelisation works
6. Human activities
7. Land development, highways, and mining

The sedimentation is a product of erosion in the catchment areas of the reservoir and hence lesser the rate of erosion, smaller is the sediment load entering the reservoir. Various factors govern the erosion, transport and deposition of sediment in the reservoir. Type of soil, drainage density, vegetation, rainfall intensity and duration, shape of catchment and land use /land cover affect the erosion. Sediment transportation depends upon slope of the catchment, channel geometry and nature of riverbank and bed. Deposition is a function of bed slope of the reservoir, length of reservoir, flow patterns, inflow - outflow rates, grain size distribution, mode of reservoir operation, etc.

In order to obtain the knowledge of sedimentation in the reservoir, it is necessary to study the mechanism of sedimentation, which will help to mitigate reservoir sedimentation, prolong the life span of reservoirs and to take full benefits of reservoirs. The sediment deposition in a reservoir depends on the following:

- Longitudinal and lateral valley shape
- Length and shape of reservoir
- Flow patterns in reservoir
- Capacity to inflow volume ratio (trap efficiency)
- Grain size distribution of sediment
- Water and sediment discharges
- Mode of reservoir operation
- Nature of incoming floods

Reservoirs created by dams on rivers lose their storage capacity due to sedimentation. As water enters a reservoir, its velocity diminishes because of the increased cross-sectional area of the channel. If the water stored in the reservoir is clear and the inflow is muddy, the two fluids have different densities and the heavy turbid water flows along the channel bottom towards the dam under the influence of gravity (Fig 1). This condition is known as "stratified flow" and the underflow is called a "density current". A large proportion of the transported silt eventually gets deposited at different levels of a reservoir and causes reduction not only in dead storage but also in live storage capacities.




Fig. 1: Conceptual sketch of density currents in a reservoir

Earlier it was believed that sediment always gets deposited in the bottom elevations of reservoir affecting the dead storage rather than depositing throughout the full range of reservoir depth. It is now fully realized that deposition takes place throughout the reservoir reducing the incremental capacity at all elevations.

Longitudinal deposition patterns in the reservoir will vary from one reservoir to another as influenced by pool geometry, discharge and grain size characteristic of the inflowing load and reservoir operation. There can be four types of depositing patterns in the reservoir as shown in the fig 2.




Fig. 2: Longitudinal Patterns of sediment deposition in reservoirs.

Delta deposits contain the coarsest fraction of the sediment load, which is rapidly deposited at the zone of inflow. It may consist entirely of coarse sediment or may also contain a large fraction of finer sediment such as silt. Wedge-shaped deposits are thickest at the dam and become thinner moving upstream. This pattern is typically caused by the transport of fine sediment to the dam by turbidity currents. Wedge-shaped deposits are also found in small reservoirs with a large inflow of fine sediment, and in large reservoirs operated at low water level during flood events, which causes most sediment to be carried into the vicinity of the dam. Tapering deposits occur when deposits become progressively thinner moving toward the dam. This is a common pattern in long reservoirs normally held at high pool level, and reflects the progressive deposition of fines from the water moving toward the dam. Uniform deposits are unusual but do occur. Narrow reservoirs with frequent water level fluctuation and small load of fine sediment can produce nearly uniform deposition depths. Several factors like amount of sediment load, size distribution, fluctuations in stream discharge, shape of reservoir, stream valley slope, vegetation at the head of the reservoir, location and size of reservoir, outlets, etc., control the location of sediment deposits in the reservoir.

Figure 3 shows different levels in the reservoir where-in the capacity is affected. Reservoirs operate between Minimum Draw Down Level (MDDL), which is at sluice level to Full Reservoir Level (FRL), which is at dam level. The storage between these two levels is the live storage as shown in Fig. 3. The storage below

MDDL is the dead storage. Water stored along the valley bed is known as valley storage.




Fig. 3: Conceptual sketch of different levels in a reservoir

3. CONTROL OF SEDIMENTATION

Due to the multiple variables involved in reservoir sedimentation, no single control measure can be considered as the most effective. The measures, which can be employed to limit sedimentation and turbidity, are as under:

1. Soil and water conservation measures within the drainage basin, contour ploughing, strip cropping, suitable farming practices, improvement of agricultural land, construction of small dams/ponds/terraces/check dams on gullies
2. Revetment and vegetation cover
3. Evacuation of sediment
4. Reservoir shoreline protection
5. Stream bank and flood plain protection
6. Ridge plantation such as pasture development and reservoir shoreline protection

Silting not only occurs in the dead storage but also encroaches into the live storage zone, which impairs the intended benefits from the reservoirs. Therefore, the

problem of sedimentation needs careful consideration. Adequate provision has to be made in the reservoir for accumulation of anticipated quantities of silt. Steps are also required to be taken to ensure that the storage capacities available are not lost or get reduced by accelerated sedimentation.

4. REMOTE SENSING IN RESERVOIR SEDIMENTATION

Remote sensing is the art and science of collecting information about earth's feature without being in physical contact with it. Various features on earth surface reflect or emit electromagnetic energy depending upon their characteristics. The reflected radiation depends upon physical properties of the terrain and emitted radiation depends upon temperature and emissivity. The radiations are recorded by the sensor on-board satellite and then are transmitted back to earth. Difference between features depends on the fact that response from different features like vegetation, soil, water is different and discernable. Data received at ground stations, is digitally or visually interpreted to generate thematic maps.

The data from satellites such as Landsat, SPOT and IRS are useful for mapping and monitoring the surface water bodies and other land resources based on which, better water management strategies could be planned. Data from microwave remote sensing technique such as SENTINEL-1 is more useful as it is an imaging radar mission providing continuous all-weather, day-and-night imagery at C-band. The SENTINEL-1 constellation provides high reliability, improved revisit time, geographical coverage and rapid data dissemination to support operational applications in the priority areas of marine monitoring, land monitoring and emergency services.

Spectral response of water is affected by variables like time of the year, sun elevation angle, water vapour content in the atmosphere, roughness of water surface, water colour, turbidity, type and concentration of suspended particles, depth of water, characteristics of bottom material and submerged or emergent vegetation.

Reservoir sedimentation surveys are essentially based on mapping of water-spread area at the time of satellite over pass. Multi-date satellite data is needed which covers the operating level of reservoir at close interval. Water spread area is nothing but water level contour at that level. Using different contours, capacity between them is calculated. With the sedimentation, the water spread area of the reservoir reduces at different levels. The water spread area and the elevation information is used to calculate the volume of water stored between different levels. These capacity values are then compared with the previously calculated capacity values to find out the change in capacity between different levels.

Remote Sensing based reservoir capacity estimation has certain limitations. The capacity estimation works between MDDL and FRL only as these are the levels between which reservoir operates. Thus changes can be estimated only in live capacity of reservoir. For capacity estimation below MDDL corresponding to dead storage other methods like hydrographic survey are to be used. Availability of cloud free data throughout reservoir operations that was a limitation in earlier optical analysis has been taken care of by using microwave datasets that are not affected by weather or illumination conditions. This technique gives accurate estimates for fan shaped reservoir where there is a considerable change in water spread area with change in water level.

5. OBJECTIVES

The objective of the study is to estimate live capacity loss of Maithon reservoir due to sedimentation through Satellite Remote Sensing. Following objectives will be achieved in the study.

- a) Updation of Elevation - Area - Capacity curve using satellite data in live storage zone.
- b) Estimation of storage loss due to Sedimentation.

6. STUDY AREA

The Maithon reservoir in the Dhanbad district of Jharkhand is located at 23°47'13" North Latitude and 86°49'02" East Longitude near the boundary of Jharkhand and West Bengal State at an altitude of 132 meters above MSL. Maithon dam is one of the large dams in the Damodar Valley and Maithon reservoir is the biggest reservoir in Damodar Valley in terms of volume of water stored. The reservoir is formed by construction of Maithon Dam in year 1957 when an incident of heavy flood in year 1942 in Damodar valley region cut off Calcutta city from rest of the country for a period of 10 weeks. The reservoir has a catchment area of around 6300 SqKm downstream. Maithon Hydel Power Station (MHPS) is located on the river Barakar about 12.9 Km above its confluence with the Damodar River. It is located underground at almost 100 feet below the water level in the left bank of the river and is the first of its kind in South East Asia. The power station has currently a total installed capacity of 63.5 MW. Maithon Dam has completed its 60 successful years in the service to the nation but the maintenance of the reservoir is a matter of concern. The depth of the reservoir has decreased, so it gets flooded very soon. So to maintain the safe level, the water has to be released through dams. It has a dual impact, first it hits badly to the irrigation in Burdwan District and also the power generation capacity of MHPS as the capacity of the reservoir has decreased. The cost of removing deposited silt in the dam is equal to the construction of a new dam, therefore economically not viable. If the silt is removed and stored in the banks of the dam, then during monsoon the silt would get washed off into the dam. The catchment receives rainfall mainly during the southwest monsoon between June and September.

7. APPROACH FOR PRESENT STUDY

Remote Sensing makes use of water spread of the reservoir between maximum and minimum operating level during the observation period. Since the reservoir level generally do not go below the MDDL, water spread observations are not possible below MDDL. The same are to be extrapolated from observed elevation-area curve to find capacity below MDDL. In the case of Maithon reservoir, the height difference between FRL (150.88 m) and MDDL (132.59 m) is 18.29 m.

INDEX MAP OF MAITHON RESERVOIR




Fig. 4: Index map of the Maithon Reservoir

8. DATA USED

8.1. SATELLITE DATA

Microwave data from Sentinel 1A for seven (7) dates has been used in the analysis. Table 1 depicts the date of pass of satellite along with elevation observed on that date.

Table – 1: Date of pass for satellite data

Satellite	Date of pass	Elevation (m)
Sentinel 1A	05/11/2019	150.00
Sentinel 1A	27/09/2019	146.39
Sentinel 1A	22/08/2019	144.64
Sentinel 1A	13/08/2019	142.72
Sentinel 1A	01/08/2019	140.65
Sentinel 1A	17/07/2019	139.13
Sentinel 1A	26/06/2019	136.62

8.2. FIELD DATA

Data from last Sedimentation Study by Satellite Remote Sensing in year 2001 Report has been used for comparison which includes the Field Hydrographic Survey Datas that were conducted earlier for Live Storage Capacity Estimations.

9. METHODOLOGY

Digital analysis has an edge over visual analysis in identifying water spread and turbidity levels in detail and more accurately because of minimizing human error or subjectivity. For Maithon reservoir studies, multi-date Sentinel 1 (7 nos. imageries) is used for the analysis. Image processing with SNAP software and Arc GIS software was used for the analysis. The analysis comprised,

- Geo-referenced Data base.
- Water spread area estimation.
- Estimation of reservoir capacity.
- Comparison with original capacity.

9.1. DATABASE

The satellite data from Sentinel 1 satellite corresponding to reservoir area obtained from USGS Earth Explorer was loaded on the system. The Sentinel-1 mission is a constellation of two polar-orbiting satellites (Sentinel-1A and Sentinel-1B), that operate day and night, sensing with a C-band synthetic aperture radar instrument operating at a centre frequency of 5.405 GHz, allowing the acquisition of imagery regardless of weather and illumination conditions. Sentinel-1 satellite constellations acquire Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) data in single or dual polarization with a revisit time of 6 days. A series of standard corrections was applied to the data using SNAP software to apply a precise orbit of acquisition, remove thermal and image border noise, perform radiometric calibration, and apply range Doppler and terrain correction.

9.2. WATER SPREAD AREA ESTIMATION

Reduction in capacity of reservoir at different levels is depicted by reduction in water-spread area (WSA) at different water levels. Estimation of water-spread area is done using various digital image processing (DIP) techniques. The technique adopted for water-spread area estimation are as follows:

- SAR data Pre-processing using Sentinel Application Platform (SNAP)
- Thresholding using ARC-GIS

9.2.1. SAR DATA PRE-PROCESSING USING SNAP

The open-source Sentinel Application Platform (SNAP) Toolkit developed by European Space Agency was used for SAR data pre-processing. Sentinel-1 intensities from high-resolution Level-1 ground range detected products (10 m; GRDH) were calibrated, speckle-filtered, and geometrically corrected using Range Doppler Terrain Correction. Specifically, the improved Lee-Sigma single product speckle filter with a window size of 7 by 7 was used to reduce speckle noise. Terrain correction were conducted using the recently released STRM 1 arc-second HGT digital elevation model (DEM) and UTM/WGS84 (Automatic) Map projection was used wherein SNAP automatically selects the required UTM zones.

9.2.2. THRESHOLDING

The areas where clear water/land demarcation is there, density slicing is successfully used for delineation of water spread areas. Density slicing is a technique where the entire grey values of pixels occurring in the image are divided into a series of specified intervals. All the grey values falling within a range are grouped in one grey value, which is displayed in output. This process divides the image into water and land pixels. From the study of histogram peaks, minimum and maximum value for water pixels is identified and image is then density sliced.

Water spread areas are extracted for all the scenes. Fig. 6 shows Sentinel 1A/1B images of different dates and Fig. 7 shows the superimposed reservoir water spreads for different dates. Water spread area has been calculated by multiplying number of pixels with area of each pixel i.e. (10m x 10m) in case of Sentinel 1 imagery. Table 2 shows satellite-derived reservoir water spread areas for different satellite overpass dates along with the water levels of the reservoir at the corresponding dates collected from the project authorities.

Table – 2: Water Spread Areas estimated from Satellite Images

Date of pass	Elevation (m)	Area (Mm²)
05/11/2019	150.00	81.0287
27/09/2019	146.39	62.7721
22/08/2019	144.64	51.477
13/08/2019	142.72	45.2557
01/08/2019	140.65	36.4346
17/07/2019	139.13	29.9606
26/06/2019	136.62	20.3912




Fig 5 : Flow chart showing methodology followed to estimate reservoir capacity loss








	
<p>26-Jun-2019 (136.62 m)</p>	<p>17-Jul-2019 (139.13 m)</p>
	
<p>01-Aug-2019 (140.65 m)</p>	<p>13-Aug-2019 (142.72 m)</p>
	
<p>22-Aug-2019 (144.64 m)</p>	<p>27-Sep-2019 (146.39 m)</p>

Fig 6 : Sentinel 1 SAR imageries showing water spreads at different dates



Water Spread Area of Maithon Reservoir on Different Dates




Fig. 7: Water Spread Area of Maithon Reservoir on different dates

The Satellite Images for the Maithon reservoir have been obtained from Copernicus Open Access Hub that provides complete, free and open access to all sentinel mission data. The analysis has been carried out using **Sentinel Application Platform** (SNAP) and Digital Image Processing software Arc GIS. The digitally processed images of Maithon Reservoir showing its water spread area for seven overpass dates such as 26-Jun-19, 17-Jul-19, 01-Aug-19, 13-Aug-19, 22-Aug-19, 27-Sep-19 and 05-Nov-19 are shown in fig. 7.

The water elevation 150.00 m for 05-Nov-19 is below and near the Full Reservoir Level (FRL) of 150.88 m. The Water elevation 136.62 m for 26-June-19 is above the Minimum Drawdown Level (MDDL) of 132.59 m.

9.3. ESTIMATION OF RESERVOIR CAPACITY

Area elevation curve has been plotted using these above seven(07) water-spread areas for different water level in the reservoir and best-fit polynomial equation of second order as given below have been derived.

$$Y = 0.0796X^2 + 2.7996 * X + 8.1191$$

$$R^2 = 0.9979$$

Where, X is Elevation in meters

Y is Water Spread Area in Mm²

Elevation - area curve using this equation has been plotted and shown in Fig- 8. Water spread areas derived from satellite data for various dates are also marked on the curve. Computation of the reservoir capacity at various elevations was made using following formula

$$V = h/3\{A1+A2 + \text{sqrt. } (A1*A2)\}$$

Where,

'V' is the reservoir capacity in Mm³ between two successive elevations h1 and h2,

'h' is the elevation difference in m (h1-h2),

'A1 & A2' are areas of reservoir water spread at elevations h1 & h2




Fig. 8: Observed elevation vs Observed Water Spread Area of Maithon Reservoir

Table 3 gives the values of Live storage capacity and submergence areas at a regular interval of 1.0 m have been worked out using the best-fit polynomial equation at different elevations.

Table-3: Aerial extent of reservoir at regular interval (1.0m) using SRS Survey 2019

Reservoir water level (m)		Water spread area by trend line (Mm ²)	Segmental Live Capacity (Mm ³) by SRS technique	Cumulative Live Capacity (Mm ³) by SRS technique 2019
MDDL	132.59	8.119	0.000	0.000
	133.00	9.280	3.564	3.564
	134.00	12.225	10.719	14.283
	135.00	15.328	13.747	28.030
	136.00	18.591	16.934	44.964
	137.00	22.013	20.278	65.242
	138.00	25.595	23.782	89.024
	139.00	29.335	27.444	116.468
	140.00	33.235	31.265	147.732
	141.00	37.294	35.245	182.977
	142.00	41.512	39.384	222.361
	143.00	45.889	43.682	266.043
	144.00	50.426	48.139	314.183
	145.00	55.121	52.756	366.939
	146.00	59.976	57.532	424.470
	147.00	64.990	62.466	486.936
	148.00	70.163	67.560	554.497
	149.00	75.496	72.813	627.310
	150.00	80.988	78.226	705.536
FRL	150.88	85.952	73.443	778.978

The Modified live capacity - elevation curve and modified elevation – area – capacity curves are plotted and shown in Fig-9 and Fig-10 respectively.




Fig. 9: Modified live capacity - elevation curve (SRS technique)




Fig. 10: Elevation – Area – Capacity Curve

9.4. COMPARISON WITH ORIGINAL AND PREVIOUS SURVEYS

Comparison of Live capacity of SRS survey with original survey in year 1955, hydrographic survey in year 1994, SRS survey in year 2001 and in year 2019 at various elevations is given below in table 4. (*Note – Previous data is available at 2 m intervals) Curve showing comparison of live capacity is drawn in figure 11.

Table-4: Comparison of Live Storage Capacity (Mm³)

Elevation (m)	Original Live Capacity (Mm³) 1955	Live Capacity Last hydrographic survey (Mm³) 1994	Cumulative live capacity by SRS survey (Mm³) 2001	Cumulative live capacity by SRS survey (Mm³) 2019
132.59 (MDDL)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
133.00	-	-	-	3.56
134.00	35.00	19.00	19.72	14.28
135.00	-	-	-	28.03
136.00	95.00	54.00	54.62	44.96
137.00	-	-	-	65.24
138.00	164.00	102.00	99.99	89.02
139.00	-	-	-	116.47
140.00	248.00	167.0	158.92	147.73
141.00	-	-	-	182.98
142.00	346.00	252.00	233.00	222.36
143.00	-	-	-	266.04
144.00	490.00	345.00	322.67	314.18
145.00	-	-	-	366.94
146.00	585.00	450.00	434.38	424.47
146.30 (Conservation Level)	607.26	470.92	453.69	448.18
147.00	-	-	-	486.94
148.00	-	-	-	554.50
149.00	-	-	-	627.31
150.00	-	-	-	705.54
150.88 (FRL)	1253.90	989.86	-	778.98

Maithon reservoir was completed in year 1955 and its original Gross and Live storage capacities were reported as 1348.80 Mm³ and 1253.9 Mm³ respectively.

The last hydrographic capacity survey was conducted in year 1994. The Gross capacity and Live storage capacities were worked out to 1084.76 Mm³ and 989.86 Mm³ respectively.

In year 2001, a Satellite Remote Sensing Survey was conducted using optical imageries that indicated a Live storage capacity of 453.69 Mm³ at conservation level of 146.31 m and 838.48 Mm³ at FRL of 150.88 m which is lower than the original capacity. In the present SRS study, it is found that in year 2019

- 1) At FRL of 150.88 m, Live capacity of the Maithon reservoir is 778.98 Mm³ witnessing a Live storage capacity loss of 474.92 Mm³ (i.e. 37.875 %) in a period of 64 years during year 1955 to 2019. This accounts for Live storage capacity loss of 0.59% per annum since year 1955.
- 2) At conservation Level of 146.31 m, Live capacity of the Maithon reservoir is 448.18 Mm³ witnessing a Live storage loss of
 - i. 159.08 Mm³ (i.e. 26.2%) in a period of 64 years during year 1955 to 2019. This accounts for Live storage capacity loss of 0.41% per annum since original operation in year 1955.
 - ii. 5.51 Mm³ (i.e. 0.91%) in a period of 18 years during year 2001 to 2019. This accounts for Live storage capacity loss of 0.05% per annum since last SRS survey in year 2001.

Comparison of Live Capacity in different surveys




Fig. 11: Comparison of Elevation (m)-Live Storage Capacity (Mm³) Curves

10. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The loss in Live storage capacity of the reservoir in remote sensing survey (2019) due to sedimentation since original survey (1955), hydrographic survey (1994) and remote sensing survey (2001) is given in Table – 5 and Table – 6.

Table – 5 : Storage Capacity loss due to sedimentation as per previous surveys

	Original Survey (1955)	Hydrographic Survey (1994)	SRS (2001)	SRS (2019)
Live Capacity (Mm³) at FRL 150.88 m	1253.90	989.86	-	778.98
Loss in Capacity (Mm³)	-	264.04	-	474.92
% Live capacity loss (since year 1955)	-	21.06	-	37.87
Annual % live capacity loss	-	0.54	-	0.59

When remote sensing survey was done in year 2001, Live storage capacity was calculated up to minimum flood management pool level i.e. the Conservation Level of 146.31 m since the reservoir was operated below that level only. However for the latest study, the Live storage capacity up to design FRL of 150.88 m has been computed.

Table – 6 : Storage Capacity loss due to sedimentation as per previous surveys

	Original Survey (1955)	Hydrographic Survey (1994)	SRS (2001)	SRS (2019)
Live Capacity (Mm³) at Conservation Level 146.31 m	607.26	470.92	453.69	448.18
Loss in Capacity (Mm³)	-	136.34	153.57	159.08
% Live capacity loss (since year 1955)	-	22.45	25.29	26.20
Annual % live capacity loss	-	0.58	0.55	0.41

The Live storage capacity of Maithon reservoir as per present study is found to be 778.98 Mm³ for the year 2019. As per original survey conducted in year 1955, the Live storage capacity was 1253.90 Mm³ and as per last hydrographic survey conducted in year 1994, the Live storage capacity was 989.86 Mm³. Modified elevation-area-capacity table worked out by the present study is given in Table 3.

11. CONCLUSION

The following conclusions emerge from the present study, subject to the limitations stated in following paragraphs.

1. The Live storage capacity of Maithon reservoir has been found to be 778.98 Mm³ in year 2019.
2. Live storage capacity loss of 474.92 Mm³ (i.e. 37.875 %) was witnessed in a period of 64 years during year 1955 to 2019. This accounts for Live storage capacity loss of 0.59% per annum since year 1955.
3. Satellite remote sensing based survey gives the information on the capacities in the water level fluctuation zone only, which generally lies between MDDL and FRL of the reservoir. Use of Satellite Remote Sensing technique enables a fast and economical estimation of live storage capacity loss due to sedimentation.
4. Capacity estimation by this technique at regular time interval can give important parameters like annual rate of sedimentation and sediment deposition pattern in the reservoir area and provide new elevation - area - capacity curve for optimal operation of the reservoir.
5. **Capacity estimation using Microwave remote sensing technology has the advantage that cloud-free imageries are available throughout the year at frequent interval as they are not affected by weather or illumination conditions.**

12. LIMITATIONS/OBSERVATIONS

1. As the reservoir operates between MDDL and FRL, the satellite data is available for this range only. The satellite remote sensing based reservoir capacity estimation works between MDDL and FRL in live storage.
2. Remote sensing techniques give accurate estimate for fan shaped reservoir where there is considerable change in water-spread area with change in water level.
3. Ground truth verification of boundary pixels is not possible due to continuous variation in reservoir levels that prevents correlating field observation of reservoir boundary with satellite data.

SALIENT FEATURES OF MAITHON DAM RESERVOIR**I. Location**

- | | |
|------------------------|---|
| 1. State | Jharkhand |
| 2. District | Dhanbad |
| 3. Tehsil | Maithon |
| Maithon Dam | Across the Barakar River near the boundary of Jharkhand and West Bengal State, made up of both concrete and earthen material of length 4427 m and height 56.08 m above foundation |
| 4. Rivers | Barakar, a tributary of Damodar River |
| 5. Site of Dam | |
| Maithon Dam | On the river Barakar, at 23°47'13" North Latitude and 86°49'02" East Longitude, around 13 Km upstream of where Barakar River meets Damodar River |
| 6. Site of Power House | Located underground on the left bank of the river Barakar, around 12.9 Km upstream of confluence point with Damodar river, with current installed capacity 63.5 MW |

II. Reservoir

- | | |
|------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1. Full Reservoir Level | +150.88 m |
| 2. Gross Storage at FRL | 873.88 Mm ³ |
| 3. Dead Storage below MDDL | 94.90 Mm ³ |
| 4. Live Storage at FRL | 778.98 Mm ³ |
| 5. Water Spread Area at FRL | 85.95 Mm ² |
| 6. Maximum water level (MWL) | +152.40 m |
| 7. Gross Storage at MWL | 1011.21 Mm ³ |
| 8. Water spread area at MWL | 94.82 Mm ² |
| 9. Dam Top Level | +156.06 m |

PHOTOGRAPH OF RESERVOIR



Photo 1: Flow over spillway of Maithon Dam



Photo 2: Maithon Dam



Photo 3: Sunset at Maithon Reservoir



Photo 4: Maithon Reservoir view from Dam

References

1. Muley M V. Application of Remote Sensing in the study of reservoir Sedimentation, SAC, Ahmedabad, June 2001.
2. Linsley R K and Franzini J B Reservoirs. Water resources Engineering, II ed. Mc Graw Hill Kogakusha Ltd, 1972,pp.161-185
3. Borland W M and Miller C R (1960), Distribution of sediment in large reservoirs. Transactions of American Society of civil engineers, vol.125, 1960
4. Compendium on silting of reservoirs in India (2015), WS&RS directorate, Environment Management Organization, WP&P wing, CWC, pp1-194.
5. Reservoir overview and salient features
<https://www.dvc.gov.in/dvcwebsite_new1/dams-barrages/>
6. Sentinel-1 SAR Technical Guide,
<<https://sentinel.esa.int/web/sentinel/technical-guides/sentinel-1-sar.>>